

ARDS with COVID-19. An intermediate V_T (7–8 ml/kg PBW) ventilation strategy was applied to the first four patients to increase pulmonary efficiency to eliminate CO_2 , and this was used in the next four patients.

Gas exchange consists of oxygenation and ventilation.

Oxygenation is quantified by the $Pa_{O_2}/F_{I_{O_2}}$ ratio, and this method has gained wide acceptance, particularly since publication of the Berlin definition of ARDS (7). However, the Berlin definition does not include additional pathophysiological information about ARDS, such as alveolar ventilation, as measured by pulmonary dead space, which is an important predictor of outcome (8). Increased pulmonary dead space reflects the inefficiency of the lungs to eliminate CO_2 , which may lead to hypercapnia.

In our patients with ARDS with COVID-19, hypercapnia was common at ICU admission with low V_T ventilation. Assuming the anatomic portion of dead space is constant, increasing V_T with constant respiratory rate would effectively increase alveolar ventilation. Any such increase in V_T would decrease Pa_{CO_2} , which would be captured by VR (6). VR, a novel method to monitor ventilatory adequacy at the bedside (4–6), was very high in our patients, reflecting increased pulmonary dead space and inadequacy of ventilation.

With an acceptable plateau pressure and driving pressure, titration of V_T was performed. Pa_{CO_2} and VR were significantly decreased when an intermediate V_T (7–8 ml/kg PBW) was applied. We suggest that intermediate V_T (7–8 ml/kg PBW) is recommended for such patients. Therefore, low V_T may not be the best approach for all patients with ARDS, particularly those with a less severe decrease in respiratory system compliance and inadequacy of ventilation.

In summary, we found that hypercapnia was common in patients with COVID-19–associated ARDS while using low V_T ventilation. VR was increased in these patients, which reflected increased pulmonary dead space and inadequacy of ventilation. An intermediate V_T was used to correct hypercapnia efficiently, while not excessively increasing driving pressure. Clinicians must have a high index of suspicion for increased pulmonary dead space when patients with COVID-19–related ARDS present with hypercapnia. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this letter at www.atsjournals.org.

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Dr. Arthur S. Slutsky for the invaluable assistance with the manuscript.

Xiaoqing Liu, M.D.*
Xuesong Liu, M.D.*
Yonghao Xu, M.D., Ph.D.
Zhiheng Xu, M.D., Ph.D.
Yongbo Huang, M.D., Ph.D.
Sibei Chen, M.D.
Shiyue Li, M.D.
Dongdong Liu, M.D.
Zhimin Lin, M.D.
Yimin Li, M.D., Ph.D.†

State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Diseases
Guangzhou, China

Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health
Guangzhou, China

and

The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University
Guangzhou, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

†Corresponding author (e-mail: dryiminli@vip.163.com).

References

1. Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A; Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:1301–1308.
2. Barnes T, Zochios V, Parhar K. Re-examining permissive hypercapnia in ARDS: a narrative review. *Chest* 2018;154:185–195.
3. Tiruvoipati R, Pilcher D, Buscher H, Botha J, Bailey M. Effects of hypercapnia and hypercapnic acidosis on hospital mortality in mechanically ventilated patients. *Crit Care Med* 2017;45:e649–e656.
4. Sinha P, Fauvel NJ, Singh S, Soni N. Ventilatory ratio: a simple bedside measure of ventilation. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;102:692–697.
5. Sinha P, Fauvel NJ, Singh P, Soni N. Analysis of ventilatory ratio as a novel method to monitor ventilatory adequacy at the bedside. *Crit Care* 2013;17:R34.
6. Sinha P, Calfee CS, Beitler JR, Soni N, Ho K, Matthay MA, et al. Physiologic analysis and clinical performance of the ventilatory ratio in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;199:333–341.
7. Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, Fan E, et al.; ARDS Definition Task Force. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. *JAMA* 2012;307:2526–2533.
8. Nuckton TJ, Alonso JA, Kallet RH, Daniel BM, Pittet JF, Eisner MD, et al. Pulmonary dead-space fraction as a risk factor for death in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:1281–1286.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society



COVID-19 Does Not Lead to a “Typical” Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome



To the Editor:

In northern Italy, an overwhelming number of patients with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pneumonia and acute respiratory failure have been admitted to our ICUs. Attention is primarily focused on increasing the number of beds, ventilators, and intensivists brought to bear on the problem, while the clinical approach to these patients is the one typically applied to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), namely, high positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) and prone positioning. However, the patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, despite meeting the Berlin definition of ARDS, present an atypical form of the syndrome. Indeed, the primary characteristic we are observing (and has been confirmed by colleagues in other hospitals) is a dissociation between their relatively well-preserved lung mechanics and the severity of hypoxemia. As shown in our first 16 patients (Figure 1), a respiratory system compliance of 50.2 ± 14.3 ml/cm H_2O is associated with a shunt fraction of 0.50 ± 0.11 . Such a wide discrepancy is virtually never seen in most forms of ARDS. Relatively high compliance indicates a

†This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202003-0817LE on March 30, 2020




Figure 1. (A) Distributions of the observations of the compliance values observed in our cohort of patients. (B) Distributions of the observations of the right-to-left shunt values observed in our cohort of patients.

well-preserved lung gas volume in this patient cohort, in sharp contrast to expectations for severe ARDS.

A possible explanation for such severe hypoxemia occurring in compliant lungs is a loss of lung perfusion regulation and hypoxic vasoconstriction. Actually, in ARDS, the ratio of the shunt fraction to the fraction of gasless tissue is highly variable, with a mean of 1.25 ± 0.80 (1). In eight of our patients with a computed tomography scan, however, we measured a ratio of 3.0 ± 2.1 , suggesting a remarkable *hyperperfusion* of gasless tissue. If this is the case, the increases in oxygenation with high PEEP and/or prone positioning are not primarily due to recruitment, the usual mechanism in ARDS (2), but instead, in these patients with poorly recruitable lungs (3), result from the redistribution of perfusion in response to pressure and/or gravitational forces. We should consider that 1) in patients who are treated with continuous positive airway pressure or noninvasive ventilation and who present with clinical signs of excessive inspiratory efforts, intubation should be prioritized to avoid excessive intrathoracic negative pressures and self-inflicted lung injury (4); 2) high PEEP in a poorly recruitable lung tends to result in severe hemodynamic impairment and fluid retention; and 3) prone positioning of patients with relatively high compliance provides a modest benefit at the cost of a high demand for stressed human resources.

Given the above considerations, the best we can do while ventilating these patients is to “buy time” while causing minimal additional damage, by maintaining the lowest possible PEEP and gentle ventilation. We need to be patient. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this letter at www.atsjournals.org.

Luciano Gattinoni, M.D.*
Medical University of Göttingen
Göttingen, Germany

Silvia Coppola, M.D.
University of Milan
Milan, Italy

Massimo Cressoni, M.D.
University of Milan-Bicocca
Milan, Italy

Mattia Busana, M.D.
Medical University of Göttingen
Göttingen, Germany

Sandra Rossi, M.D.
University Hospital Parma
Parma, Italy

Davide Chiumello, M.D.
University of Milan
Milan, Italy

ORCID IDs: 0000-0001-5380-2494 (L.G.); 0000-0002-0089-2905 (M.C.); 0000-0002-1626-1278 (M.B.); 0000-0002-9963-8121 (S.R.); 0000-0001-9260-3930 (D.C.).

*Corresponding author (e-mail: gattinoniluciano@gmail.com).

References

- Cressoni M, Caironi P, Polli F, Carlesso E, Chiumello D, Cadringer P, et al. Anatomical and functional intrapulmonary shunt in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:669–675.
- Gattinoni L, Caironi P, Cressoni M, Chiumello D, Ranieri VM, Quintel M, et al. Lung recruitment in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:1775–1786.
- Pan C, Chen L, Lu C, Zhang W, Xia J-A, Sklar MC, et al. Lung recruitability in SARS-CoV-2-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: a single-center observational study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* [online ahead of print] 23 Mar 2020; DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202003-0527LE. Published in final form as *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; 201:1294–1297 (this issue).
- Brochard L, Slutsky A, Pesenti A. Mechanical ventilation to minimize progression of lung injury in acute respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2017;195:438–442.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society